

Vaccination for H5N1 2.3.4.4b High Pathogenicity Avian Influenza: Questions and Answers

- 1. Why are we concerned about the H5N1 2.3.4.4b HPAI outbreak? The H5N1 2.3.4.4b clade Gs/GD Eurasian lineage high pathogenicity avian influenza (HPAI) virus (HPAIV) has created a panzootic in poultry, wild birds, and domestic and wild mammals. The number of poultry deaths and poultry culled exceed that of the other 51 HPAI epizootics that have been documented in poultry in the modern era combined. Historically, the principal HPAI control strategy has utilized enhancements to biosecurity to prevent exposure and subsequent infection, surveillance to find virus infected flocks, and stamping-out to eliminate infected flocks to prevent farm-to-farm spread. These methods have been highly effective for eradicating emergent HPAIV outbreaks (i.e., low pathogenicity avian influenza virus [LPAIV] that mutated to HPAI but did not spread widely) such as the 2020 H7N3 HPAI in South Carolina turkeys. However, the 2.3.4.4b HPAI virus has uniquely become endemic in wild birds and provides an ongoing risk of introduction into USA poultry flocks. The high susceptibility of egg-type chickens, turkeys, and domestic ducks only requires a small amount of virus to initiate the infection cycle within a farm. The current program of biosecurity, surveillance and stamping-out is unsustainable against the threat of clade 2.3.4.4b HPAIV.
- 2. What can vaccines and vaccination do? Antigenically close-matched vaccines, when applied correctly, will produce a robust protective immune response that increases the bird's resistance to infection as evident by requiring a higher dose of HPAI virus (HPAIV) to produce infection. In addition, many fewer birds will become infected, and those infected birds will have reduced viral shedding thus reducing environmental contamination which will help break the transmission cycle and stop spread. The vaccine must be applied to immune competent poultry and follow vaccine manufacturer's recommendation for route of administration, age and application dose.
- 3. What are the limitations to vaccines and vaccination in HPAI control? Vaccination cannot replace biosecurity, surveillance and stamping-out of virus- infected flocks. Antigenically mismatched vaccines will not adequately protect the birds nor stop outbreaks and can accelerate the emergence of vaccine escape mutants. Vaccination crews must practice the highest level of biosecurity to avoid spreading HPAIV between farms.
- 4. Are H5 vaccines against HPAI available in the USA? There are five types of USDAlicensed H5 HPAI vaccines for poultry: 1) inactivated oil-emulsified whole avian influenza



virus, 2) DNA, 3) RNA particle, 4) recombinant fowl poxvirus with H5 influenza gene insert (rFPV-H5) and 5) recombinant herpesvirus of turkeys with H5 influenza gene insert (rHVT-H5). However, only an inactivated oil-emulsified whole avian influenza virus vaccine, an RNA particle vaccine and two rHVT-H5 vaccines have efficacy demonstrated against circulating H5N1 2.3.4.4b HPAIVs in experimental vaccination and challenge studies at USDA/SEPRL. Additional vaccine efficacy studies against European 2.3.4.4b HPAIVs has been demonstrated in the Netherlands, Italy and Belgium. A single dose of vaccine is unlikely to provide long term protection, and previous experience requires at least 1 or 2 boosters to maintain protective levels of protection. Studies are ongoing to evaluate length of protection of different vaccine approaches, and a current field trial in egg laying chickens has shown protection through 24 weeks of age in egg type chickens in The Netherlands. This study will continue through 80 weeks of age. The USDAlicensed vaccines require injection in ovo, subcutaneous [SQ] or intramuscular [IM] into individual birds.

- 5. **Can we use the USDA-licensed vaccines now?** Poultry field application of the vaccines requires both USDA and individual state approval. HPAI vaccination in USA has been approved and implemented to protect the endangered California condor. However, poultry vaccination has not been approved because of concerns surrounding potential non-tariff trade barriers on US poultry and poultry products, primarily chicken meat and poultry genetic stocks. The vaccines licensed in the US currently have a reasonable expectation of protection if properly applied. Additional types of vaccines are likely to become available if vaccines were allowed for use in the US.
- 6. **Could the USDA-licensed vaccines be applied to poultry?** Multiple current licensed vaccines are fit-for-purpose having both demonstrated efficacy against 2.3.4.4b HPAIV and will logistically fit within the current injectable vaccination process used to control endemic diseases of high individual value egg laying chickens and turkeys. The vaccines can be administered in ovo or 1-day-of-age by injection in the hatchery or by SQ or IM injection in the field, depending on the individual vaccine technology. Birds should receive a minimum of two doses of vaccine but may require additional booster vaccinations to maintain protection throughout a long production life cycle which is impacted by the vaccine technologies used. Booster vaccinations should be determined by active monitoring of antibodies in vaccinated flocks. Vaccination should only be used as a targeted process in high-risk poultry, such as egg laying hens and pullets and turkeys, in specific geographic areas. Consideration should be given to development of

2



vaccination areas or zones, based on state and/or county level, with lowest impact on broilers for export markets.

- 7. Are silent infections common in vaccinated poultry flocks? Properly vaccinated, wellmanaged flocks using matched efficacious vaccines will have uncommon HPAIV infections as has been demonstrated in the well-managed France and Hong Kong SAR vaccination programs.
- 8. **Does the USA have a HPAI vaccine bank?** The USDA funded and maintained a H5 HPAI vaccine bank in the latter half of the 2010 decade. However, no vaccine bank exists today, but some of the USDA-licensed vaccines (e.g. rHVT-H5) are routinely produced in commercial quantities and exported for application in other countries. Such existing vaccine production could be increased for USA use.
- 9. Should vaccinated flocks be monitored for protection? Programs to evaluate the level of protection elicited by vaccines to assure adequate flock coverage is recommended. Statistical sampling of individual bird protective immune responses and their collective evaluation to determine flock immunity are critical for determining the success of the vaccination program. Such monitoring can include assessment of humoral immunity for all vaccine types through H5 hemagglutination-inhibition (HI) and H5-ELISA assays, and assessment of vaccine virus replication in feather follicles or spleen of rHVT-H5 vaccinated poultry. Additional assessments of cellular immunity and mucosal immunity can be done on a limited ad hoc basis.
- 10. Should surveillance be conducted in vaccinated flocks? Detection of infections in vaccinated flocks (i.e. DIVA) can be accomplished by serological and/or virological methods. The goal of surveillance is to detect HPAIV infections, as the presence of sustained virus transmission is the risk. Any infected flocks should be stamped out. Surveillance should be reasonable (i.e. similar sensitivity and specificity for vaccinated and non-vaccinated flocks), cost-effective and sustainable. It should be primarily virological, use highly sensitive and specific rRT- PCR on targeted samples. The samples should focus on daily mortality, supplemented by sick birds, which are a naturally occurring susceptible minor population of birds that did not develop a protective immune response. Using random samples of asymptomatic chicken and turkeys would be an inefficient use of resources as in a properly vaccinated flock, most will be



immune and not excreting high levels of virus. Environmental samples, such as drinker swabs, should be considered for further validation studies, as they have the potential to be a low-cost alternative sample for early detection of infections in both vaccinated and non-vaccinated flocks. All vaccines are compatible with virological surveillance for detecting active HPAIV infections (i.e. DIVA). By contrast, serological surveillance can provide information about exposure for the life of the flock, but the approach to testing is dependent on the type of vaccines used, and in with some vaccines may be impractical to implement. For vaccines that only contain the H5 protein they are compatible with current serological surveillance assays that detect antibody to the nucleoprotein. Inactivated whole virus vaccines require a heterologous neuraminidase and companion neuraminidase antibody test. Infections with other avian influenza viruses as well as false positive results require retesting with more specific and sensitive serological surveillance follow-up to identify actively virus infection in birds.

